THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE GOPALA KRISHNA TAMADA

-

WRIT PETITION No.25672 of 1997

-

Dated: 24.01.2007

Between:

V. Malla Reddy S/o Raghava Reddy

..... PETITIONER

AND

Mandal Revenue Officer,

Medchal Mandal,

Ranga Reddy District.

.....RESPONDENTS

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE GOPALA KRISHNA TAMADA

-

WRIT PETITION No.25672 of 1997

-

ORDER:

-

This writ petition is filed seeking to quash Memo No.B/3050/97 dated 20.9.1997 issued by the Mandal Revenue Officer, Medchal-first respondent herein, and for a consequential direction to the respondent to pass orders on the application made by the petitioner to enter his name in the possessory column of the pahani in respect of the current year with regard to the land admeasuring Ac.12.05 guntas in Sy.No.252 situated at Athevelly village, Medchal Mandal.

It is the case of the petitioner that he purchased the said land from one Syed Ghouse Mohiuddin under a registered sale deed dated 29.03.1997, and since then he has been in possession and enjoyment of the said land. Now his grievance is that he made an application to the respondent to conduct an enquiry and enter his name in

the posessory column of the pahani in respect of the current year with regard to the said land, but the respondent, by the impugned memo, rejected his application. Hence, this writ petition.

A detailed counter is filed on behalf of the respondent stating that the petitioner is not a pattadar in respect of the said land and in fact, the name of one Vemula Narasimha Reddy was entered in the records as pattadar on 08.04.1996 having regard to the fact that he was in possession of the said land for the last more than 20 years.

Heard both the counsel.

In the light of the averments made by the respondent in the counter this Court is of the view that the respondent has rightly rejected the application of the petitioner for entering his name in the village records. However, it is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that there is a litigation between his vendor Syed Ghouse Mohiuddin and the said Vemula Narasimha Reddy and in that regard a civil suit is pending.

In the light of the said submission, the petitioner is at liberty to file an application before the respondent if the said suit is allowed in favour of his vendor.

With the above observation, the writ petition is dismissed. There shall be no order as to costs.

_____

24.01.2007

sh